Page 1

NON-BINDING ARBITRATION INITIATED 10/21/08

PURSUANT TO

DECREE OF MAY 19, 2003, 538 U.S. 720

KANSAS V. NEBRASKA & COLORADO

NO. 126, ORIG, U.S. SUPREME COURT

DEPOSITION OF JOHN LEATHERMAN,

produced, sworn, and examined on Tuesday, the 24th day of February, 2009, between the hours of 8:00 o'clock in the forenoon and 6:00 o'clock in the afternoon of that day at Husch Blackwell Sanders LLP, 4801 Main Street, in the City of Kansas City, County of Jackson, State of Missouri, before:

JANE A. BLACKERBY, RPR, CCR Registered Professional Reporter of JAY E. SUDDRETH & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Suite 100

10104 West 105th Street

Overland Park, Kansas 66212-5755

a Certified Court Reporter within and for the State of Missouri.

Taken on behalf of the State of Nebraska.

D 2	Da 4
Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES 2 For the State of Kansas:	1 JOHN LEATHERMAN,
3 MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS, P.A. Attorneys at Law	of lawful age, having been first duly sworn to tell
4 325 Paseo De Peralta	3 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 5 BY: Mr. John B. Draper	4 truth, testified as follows:
6 For the State of Nebraska: 7 HUSCH BLACKWELL SANDERS LLP	5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
Attorneys at Law	6 BY MR. WILMOTH: 7 O. Good morning, Dr. Leatherman, How are
8 206 South 13th Street, Suite 1400 Lincoln, Nebraska 68508	Q. Good morning, Dr. Leatherman. How areyou today?
9 BY: Mr. Tom R. Wilmoth 10 For the State of Colorado:	9 A. I'm fine, thank you.
11 MR. PETER J. AMPE	10 Q. Feeling okay today? Nothing would
First Assistant Attorney General 12 1525 Sherman Street, 7th Floor	prohibit you from testifying truthfully and
Denver, Colorado 80203	12 accurately, to your knowledge?
Also Present:	13 A. No.
14 Mr. Dale E. Book, P.E.	Q. Thank you for coming. I think you are
15 Mr. Bill Vogel	aware that I'll be asking you some questions today
Mr. Christopher M. Gruenwald 16 Mr. Burke W. Griggs	about the report that is titled Economic Impacts
Ms. Donna L. Ormerod 17 Mr. Thomas E. Riley, P.E.	on Kansas of Diminished Surface Water Supplies to
Mr. Marc Groff, P.E.	18 the Lower Republican River Basin Caused by
18 Mr. Gordon R. Coke, P.E. Mr. Marcus A. Powers	Nebraska in 2005 and 2006 dated January 20
19 Mr. James R. Williams, P.E. Mr. Justin D. Lavene	20 A. Yes.
20	Q of 2009. You're familiar with that
21 INDEX 22 JOHN LEATHERMAN PAGE	22 report?
23 Direct Examination by Mr. Wilmoth 4 Signature: 34	A. Yes, I am. O. And for the record, that report was
24 Certificate: 35	Q. And for the record, that report was marked as Exhibit 20 to Dr. Kastens' deposition.
	Page 5
	-
1 EXHIBITS 2 EXHIBIT PAGE	1 To the extent we'll be referring to that report in
2 EXHIBIT PAGE NUMBER DESCRIPTION REFERENCED	this deposition, we'll be referring to that
3	3 exhibit. I'll also refer to it as the economic 4 report for simplicity sake.
23 Testimony on Substitute of SB 89	 4 report for simplicity sake. 5 Do you have a copy of that report
4 Disposition of moneys recovered from Republican River Compact	6 available to you or would you like a copy?
5 Litigation to the House Agriculture	7 A. No, I do not.
and Natural Resources Committee 19	8 Q. I have a clean copy. Dr. Leatherman,
6	9 what is your educational background?
7 8	10 A. I received a degree a Bachelor of Arts
9	Degree in Psychology from the University of Wisconsin
10	12 at Milwaukee I believe in 1980. Master of Science
11	13 Degree in Natural Resource Management from the
12 13	14 University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point, I believe, in
14	15 1985 and then a Ph.D. in Urban and Regional Planning
15	from the University of Wisconsin, Madison, in 1995.
16	Q. And what is your professional background
17 18	18 since you since 1995?
19	A. I'm a professor in the Department of Agricultural Economics at Kansas State University.
20	21 Q. And you have held that position since
21	22 1995?
22	23 A. That's correct.
23 24	Q. And do you teach any course work there?
25	A. My position is extension outreach work.

Page 8 Page 6 1 Q. Is this position similar to that 1 objective of trying to conserve water resources in 2 described by Mr. Kastens earlier? 2 southwestern Kansas. 3 3 A. Similar insofar as we both do outreach work. O. What is the practical implication of 4 although our areas of specialty differ quite 4 implementing that program on water use? 5 5 significantly. A. Well, there are, perhaps, several, but the 6 Q. In what regard? 6 most obvious one would be the objective of trying to 7 7 I generally work in the area of rural conserve water from the aguifer. 8 8 development, which deals with such things as Q. And how does it operate to do that? How community economic development, local public finance, 9 does the CRP program or the base program operate 10 10 environment management issues. to achieve that goal? Q. And you're currently under contract to 11 A. I can speak only generally. I am not an 11 12 the state of Kansas to assist in the Republican 12 expert on the mechanics of how that program works, 13 River matter. Is that correct? 13 but essentially they allow landowners the opportunity A. That's correct. 14 14 to retire irrigation rights for a period of time in return for compensation. 15 15 Q. Does the scope of that service include 16 production of this report? 16 Q. Do you have any idea what that 17 17 compensation structure is? 18 18 Q. The economic report, for the record. A. No. I can't answer that right for you. 19 Does the scope of your employment include anything 19 Regarding dollar figures, you don't 20 20 know? else related to the Republican River? 21 21 A. No. 22 22 Have you done any other projects under Q. In terms of your work on the economic Q. 23 contract to the state of Kansas, aside from your 23 paper, I'm sorry, the economic report, did you 2.4 agricultural extension work? 24 apply this knowledge that you learned in working A. I have worked under contract in my official 25 25 on that CRP analysis? Page 7 Page 9 1 A. The analyses were similar insofar as they employment capacity on a variety of projects for the 2 state of Kansas, but I have never done so as an 2 used similar technique. 3 independent consulting agent. 3 Q. Okay. In preparing the economic report, 4 Q. Okay. Could you describe generally the 4 did you consider the impact of potential payments 5 nature of some of those projects that you were 5 under these programs? 6 A. No, I did not. 6 involved in? 7 7 A. Oh, one was estimating the economic impact Q. Do you know if any of your co-authors 8 of the conservation reserve enhancement program in 8 did so? 9 9 southwestern Kansas. That was one for which I was A. No, I don't, but I don't believe any did. 10 10 directly contracted, and I have received several Q. Okay. Could you describe generally your 11 grants to do water shed management planning, oversee 11 role in preparing the economic report? 12 work in that area. I have received funding to 12 A. I, together with Dr. Tom Johnson from the 13 support outreach work relating to rural health care 13 University of Missouri, Columbia, were responsible 14 economics. Those are the major ones that I can think 14 for the component that estimated the indirect 15 15 economic effects. 16 Q. Regarding your estimation of the impact 16 Q. Do you have any expert opinion on the 17 of the CRP program, could you describe that work, 17 component analyzing the direct economic impact? please? 18 18 A. I have the opinion that I have world class 19 19 A. That was -- that came essentially as a partners that worked on that side of the project, and 20 20 result of the settlement between Kansas and Colorado I believe that they are about as careful in crafting 21 21 in the Arkansas River compact case, and coming out of an accurate answer as anyone could be, and so I have 22 that the state used at least some portion of its 22 complete trust in what they gave me as a direct 23 23 settlement money to establish a conservation reserve estimate. 24 enhancement program, which essentially adds a layer 24 Q. So you did not question the estimate 25 25 above normal conservation reserve programs with the that was provided to you for direct impact?

Page 12 Page 10 1 1 wrong with this type of an accounting system as long A. No, I did not. 2 2 Q. You did no incident analysis of those as it's applied correctly, a given question that 3 3 direct impacts? needs to be answered. 4 4 A. No, I did not. Q. What other types of modeling systems are 5 5 Q. Is it accurate to say that your indirect available to answer the question that you 6 impact analysis begins with the premise of the 6 attempted to answer in this document? 7 7 direct impact as quantified by your colleagues? A. There may be -- there may be the 8 8 appropriate -- there may be the application of 9 9 several different types of models, none of which are Q. If that direct impact number is changed 10 10 in any way, does it affect your analysis? inherently superior to utilizing the techniques that 11 11 we used, and those would include simple -- a simple A. Yes. 12 12 Q. If that direct impact number is reduced, input/output type model from which the social accounting matrices are derived. There are other 13 how would it affect your analysis? 13 14 A. It would reduce the number that I would come 14 types of models that can join the social accounting 15 15 up with. matrix with a series of econometrics equations, which 16 16 may help to identify impacts in other areas beyond Q. Do you believe that would be a linear 17 17 response? In other words, if the direct impacts just the financial accounting. There are computable 18 general equilibrium models which would give us 18 were 10 percent less, would the indirect impacts 19 19 be 10 percent less? impacts to essentially social welfare, and there 20 20 A. Yes. All else being equal. again, depending on the nature of the phenomenon that 21 21 you're trying to understand, it may be more important Can you describe generally the social O. 22 22 accounting matrix? to utilize one tool over another. Given the modeling 23 Social accounting matrix is an extension of 23 assumptions that we were dealing with with this 24 24 input/output analysis. It essentially provides an particular question, we believe that the social 25 25 accounting system for how the economy is connected accounting matrix analysis was the correct analysis Page 11 Page 13 1 to use. 1 and how financial transactions flow from various 2 sectors within that economy. It's a comprehensive 2 What assumptions were those? Q. 3 3 That essentially we are dealing with a very accounting system, accounting for all financial flows short-term phenomena. That we restrict our 4 4 that exist within the economy during a period of 5 5 time, typically one year. perspective to what occurred in the economy in 2005 6 6 Q. How is it typically employed, in your and 2006. 7 7 experience? Q. If I understand your indirect effect 8 8 analysis correctly, the area of analysis is A. It can be employed in several ways. It's a 9 9 very rich descriptive tool of the interconnectiveness statewide? 10 10 of the economy, and there are many times we use it A. That's correct. 11 just for educational purposes to help people to 11 Q. Is that equivalent to saying that the 12 understand the linkages that exist, then applying the 12 so-called ripple effect of a direct effect in 13 appropriate mathematics to that system. We can use 13 northeast Kansas would ripple through the whole 14 14 state, in your assessment? it in a predictive fashion to try to estimate the impacts of various types of policies or events. 15 15 That would be correct. A. 16 16 Q. Are there any drawbacks of the social And what is that premise based on? Q. 17 17 How the -- how the direct effects that accounting matrix, that you're aware of? 18 A. No drawbacks, per se, although there are 18 accrue to the farmers within the region, what they, 19 19 alternative modeling systems that may be used in turn, do in response to those direct effects, and 20 20 depending on the nature of the question asked. In given the short-term nature of the time frame within 21 21 certain instances it may be appropriate, once again, which we consider economic impacts to arise, we 22 depending on the relationships that we believe to 22 assume that 100 percent of the impact accrued to 23 23 exist, given a change or a policy where it may be household consumption, because farmers lost profits,

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

which, in turn, affects the level of household income

that's available. They have no alternative, other

24

25

appropriate to utilize a different type of modeling

tool, but there's nothing necessarily inherently

24

25

Page 16 Page 14 1 1 than to curtail household consumption, and household Q. How was that accounted for in your 2 2 consumption, then, is a phenomenon that spreads the analysis? 3 3 effect very, very broadly, given the wide variety and A. It was not. the large geographic area over which we all spend 4 4 Q. Do you have any idea regarding the 5 5 household -- our household income. impact of those ripples in Nebraska? 6 How did you determine that household 6 A. I do not. 7 7 income was curtailed in 2005 and 2006? Q. Do you think that the ripples move 8 A. It follows logically that if there is less 8 outward from the center in equal fashion? 9 9 income generated, something has to happen in response A. Not always. 10 10 to that, and we've estimated the amount of direct In your opinion, do you believe that 11 11 income that was reduced as a result of lower some of those ripples would certainly have reached 12 12 production levels. It follows logically that the state of Nebraska? 13 something has to happen and, in our opinion, it is 13 A. In my opinion, yes. 14 that household consumption would have to go down. 14 Do you have any opinion about the 15 relative extent of those ripples vis-a-vis those So is it accurate to say that that's an 15 16 assumption on your part? An informed assumption, 16 in Kansas? Is it roughly proportional, is it the 17 albeit. 17 same, is it 10 percent? Any idea? 18 That would be correct. 18 I couldn't say unless I actually modeled it. A. 19 So you did not conduct any interviews 19 When we cast our stone into the pond and Q. 20 20 with individuals in the relevant geographic area the ripples go outward, do they attenuate the 21 21 to determine how their household income was farther you get from the center? 22 22 impacted in 2005, for example? Yeah. A. 23 A. That's correct. I did not. 23 O. Or are they uniform statewide? 24 Were you present earlier when I asked 24 They would not be uniform statewide. They 25 Dr. Kastens about crop yields in 2005? 25 would essentially be attracted first to the major Page 15 Page 17 1 A. Yes. 1 centers of economic activity where people go to shop, 2 Q. Do you recall hearing that crop yields 2 or where there are large conglomerations of various 3 were at a record high in 2005? 3 types of economic activity that will capture a 4 4 A. I believe that was what was said. I don't greater share of the effect, and so no, it would not 5 5 have a specific recollection of that. I recall the be uniform. It would be -- it would be somewhat 6 6 directional based on exactly where the spending discussion. 7 7 Q. That's fine. Assuming for sake of my occurred and the connections between places. 8 8 next question that crop yields were at an all time Q. So under that concept, would it be fair 9 9 high in 2005, how would that reflect on your to say, just in laymen's terms, that your average 10 10 educated assumption that household income was farmer is going to go to the local Wal-Mart to 11 negatively impacted that year? 11 purchase shoes rather than travel 400 miles south 12 A. I'm really not qualified to answer that 12 to do so? Is that the layperson's concept of what 13 question. I don't understand the nature of 13 you just mentioned? 14 agricultural production economics sufficiently to 14 A. That would generally be true, but not --15 15 offer an informed opinion of that. that would not be a generalization I would make 16 16 With regard to the ripple effect, this because we're all more than happy to get in our cars 17 17 and go lengthy distances for special shopping reminds me somewhat of casting a stone into the 18 water and the ripples go outward. Is that a fair 18 opportunities and trips. By and large, when I buy a 19 19 analogy? gallon of milk I do it locally, but if occasionally 20 20 A. That's where it comes from. my wife and I go Christmas shopping in Kansas City, 21 21 Q. It seems to me that when you have cast we do it. Q. But you buy a lot more milk than you buy 22 your stone into Kansas, the ripples float only 22 23 23 south. Don't the ripples also go north into Christmas gifts, I assume? 24 Nebraska? 24 A. I'm a big milk drinker. 25 25 Yes, they do. Me, too. I like milkshakes. Does the

Page 20

John Leatherman February 24, 2009

Page 18 1 economic report assume that all income classes 2 reduce their spending proportionally? 3 A. No. 4 Which classes reduce and in what Ο. 5 relation to the others? 6 A. I want to backtrack on what I just said. If 7 I understood your question correctly, you asked would 8 they reduce their spending proportionately. Which 9 income? Please repeat. 10 Q. Certainly. My question was ill phrased. 11 Do each of the classes reduce their spending in 12 the same proportion? A. In our report we assume so, yes. 13 14 Q. And what is that assumption based on? 15 A. Based on the fact that there was lack of any 16 real data upon which to refine that assumption. 17 In your experience, do people making \$150,000 or more typically have more flexible 18 19 income? 20 A. That would be correct. 21 O. More disposable income than, say, 22 someone making \$50,000? 23 In absolute terms. A. 24 Q. Okay. 25 Not in proportionate terms. Page 19

identification by the reporter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. (By Mr. Wilmoth) I'm interested in Pages 1 and 2 of this document. Take your time. Have you had enough time to review that document?

A. I believe I have for our purposes here.

Q. Dr. Leatherman, Exhibit No. 23 appears to indicate the means by which Kansas would spend and allocate any award provided in this proceeding. For purposes of my next question, assume for the sake of argument, please, that this is precisely how that money would be spent and assume that the award were \$10 million. What effect, if any, would that have, in your view, on the regional economy in northeast Kansas?

A. The expenditure of resources will always have some impact. To the extent that it is in the amount of \$10 million, it will probably be compounded by some increment. I can't tell you how much unless I actually model this. I can't tell you whether it's 5 percent or 50 percent or what, but generally speaking, the very notion of a multiplier effect is that it will be some increment greater than 1. Unless, of course -- I will take that back, because there are certain kinds of investments which can actually, perhaps, have negative multipliers which

Page 21

- Q. Okay. So those individuals in the \$150,000 income bracket may not necessarily be inclined to reduce spending as much as someone in the \$50,000 income bracket?
- A. Proportionately it would be less, but to the extent that 150 is a hundred thousand more than 50, the total dollar value is likely to be significantly larger.
- Q. Okay. When you conducted your indirect effect analysis, did you consider the impact of any payment of damages in this proceeding as an offset to those indirect effects?
 - A. No, I didn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you agree conceptually that if a damage payment were made in this proceeding, that it would have an effect on the regional economy?
- A. It would depend largely on how it was spent, but yes.
- Q. Dr. Leatherman, I'll hand you a document that will be marked Exhibit 23, and recognizing that you may not have seen this document, I would ask you to take a moment and familiarize yourself with it.

(Whereupon, Leatherman Deposition Exhibit Number 23 was marked for

- would reduce the value to less than 1, but this probably would have some, and until I did it, I actually couldn't tell you exactly what it would be or at what scale.
- Q. Is that equivalent in layperson's terms to saying, essentially, that this would produce a positive ripple effect in contrast to a negative ripple effect? Not opining on how big the ripple is, but --
- A. I'd have to know more about precisely what they were going to do within these three expenditure categories to say that definitively.
- Q. Let's look at those for a moment. I direct your attention to the third paragraph, second sentence says, "The amended bill would require monetary damages to be credited first to the existing interstate litigation water fund in an amount necessary to cover the state's expenses for the past Republican River litigation." Do you see that sentence?
- A. Yes. That suggests to me a leakage from the state.
 - Q. To the State of New Mexico, I presume?
- A. That's where I presume.
 - Q. Second sentence says, "Five percent of

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Page 25

John Leatherman February 24, 2009

Page 22

any remaining monetary damages would be credited to a new Republican River compact compliance and enforcement fund." Do you have any idea what that would entail?

- A. I'm only speculating, but I would guess that that would be for continued monitoring purposes and modeling purposes.
- Q. You think that would be a leakage to the state?
- A. Depending on where the contractors were. If they were Kansas based, it would not. If they were Colorado based, that would represent a leakage.
- Q. We all know there aren't any good modelers in Colorado. For the record, that was a joke. Final sentence of this paragraph indicates, "The balance would be credited to a new Republican River water conservation projects fund." Do you have any idea what that might mean?
- A. I really don't, and that's why I'm hesitant to offer a generalization. I really don't.
- Q. Presumably those conservation projects would be located in the state of Kansas, though?
- A. I would guess, but I don't know the nature of what they're doing, whether the net effect will be positive or negative to the economy.

Page 24

the basin, there would be a benefit?

- A. Depending on the nature of the project, potentially, yes.
 - Q. Dr. Leatherman, I would direct your attention to Page 10 of your report. Beneath the heading labeled D. Time Frame of the Analysis. If I'm correctly interpreting this, you chose data from 2004 to build the model used in this analysis. Is that accurate?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Why was that date selected -- that data selected?

A. When we first started this process, the only data available in the implant system was for 2004. At the front end of this process I spent considerable time checking the data for accuracy. As time went on, subsequent years data became available. It so happened that IMPLAN, for whatever reason, skipped producing data for 2005 and went directly to 2006. At the time I was faced with the question of should I take similar efforts to verify, check and test the 2006 data, which was relatively new, or should I stick with the 2004 data that I knew so well. Given the fact that absent major structural changes in the economy we would expect from year to year the data to

Page 23

t

- Q. If that same \$10 million was spent locally, specifically to compensate the individuals who were allegedly harmed by the activities of the state of Nebraska, how would that affect your indirect impact analysis?
- A. I'm not an expert on this, but my understanding is that that would not be possible.
 - Q. Which part would not be possible?
- A. To directly compensate the landowners in the Bostwick Irrigation District with settlement funds.
- Q. Is that because of some legal limitation?
- A. Yes. It's my understanding. I'm no expert in this area, however.
- Q. So if I understand what you're saying, it's your understanding that there's no way to put money back into the local economy from this?
- A. That would not be correct. There could be ways of doing projects that would benefit that local economy that could be positive, but it will not be a direct income transfer to Bostwick irrigators, is my understanding.
- Q. Would an example of that be this Republican River Water Conservation Fund, potentially if that was a -- created a project in

change relatively little, and therefore, the resulting estimates to change very, very little, I felt, with the confidence that I had in the 2004 data, that was good enough to use. It would be -- it would be -- I don't think I could have improved on it.

Q. What was the nature of this data?

A. The data that supports the IMPLAN system is a -- it consists of a number of elements which are then utilized and manipulated within the system to create the entire accounting structure. The specific data that is input into the system, while I cannot off the top of my head give you necessarily a comprehensive accounting, by and large, it has to do with various types of income, levels of employment and other elements upon which the model ultimately is constructed and based. These data elements essentially come from official U.S. Government statistics. They start with essentially the information generated by the Bureau of Economic

- Analysis and other elements of the Department of
 Commerce, which form what we all know and hear every
- night in the news are official government statistics.
- 24 They then take those statistics and they utilize
- various types of state-of-the-art estimation methods

Page 28 Page 26 1 1 to distribute that to states and then local areas of in Nebraska is a leakage. Any impact elsewhere in 2 2 the economy. That is the data upon which these the United States is a leakage. We have accounted 3 3 models are built. for that. We're just reporting on that that accrues 4 4 Q. You mentioned that the IMPLAN skipped to the Kansas economy. 5 5 producing data in 2005. Is that what you said? Q. Okay. Thank you. One of the things I 6 A. They did not produce data for 2005. 6 didn't pick up on in the report was the notion of, 7 7 Q. Forgive my ignorance, who did not in layperson's terms, covering one's behind in the 8 8 produce data for 2005? short term. What I mean by that is, it would 9 9 A. The Minnesota IMPLAN group which supports appear to be typical in the American economy when 10 10 the IMPLAN impact analysis system. hard times strike to rely fairly heavily on 11 11 Q. So not these agencies that you referred credit. Would you agree with that generally? 12 12 A. I don't really know. Seems to me like in to in all these government statistics? 13 A. That's correct. 13 good times we were running up a lot of debt, too. 14 They exist. They hadn't been distilled 14 Q. Fair enough. And we're running up even 15 15 by the Minnesota IMPLAN group or packaged? more as we sit here today. 16 16 That's correct. A. Indeed. 17 Have you had an opportunity to look at 17 Q. We, in fact, may own a piece of Citibank that data subsequent to your report for 2005? 18 by the end of the day. My question is this. Did 18 19 A. It has not been produced, so. 19 you consider in this report the extent to which 20 20 Q. You haven't looked at it independently? individuals within the affected area might 21 21 A. continue their purchasing through the use of No. 22 22 Q. Okay. How did the IMPLAN data for 2004 credit, specifically credit cards and other compare to 2006? 23 23 borrowed income? 24 A. I haven't scrutinized it closely in order to 24 A. No. 25 make a definitive judgment. I have worked with the 25 Do you think it's possible that that O. Page 29 1 could have occurred? 2006 data and now I'm working with 2007 data, by and 2 large it's relatively comparable, at least on first 2 A. Perhaps to some degree over a short term in 3 3 some smaller amount, but no, they didn't completely appearance. 4 4 Q. Let's take about ten minutes, if we can, substitute that income and put it on credit. I don't 5 and we'll come back and we'll finish up before 5 believe that. 6 6 Q. Okay. One of the other things that I 11:00. 7 7 think I understood you to say was that essentially (Brief recess taken.) 8 Q. (By Mr. Wilmoth) Mr. Leatherman, just a 8 the indirect effects would be felt in the same 9 9 couple more questions and then we'll finish up for year as the direct effects. Is that correct? 10 10 the day. I want to return to the ripple effect A. No. 11 for just a moment. You have assigned a dollar 11 Q. Okay. 12 figure to the indirect impacts in this paper. 12 Ä. I'm sorry. 13 Correct? 13 Go ahead. Q. 14 A. That's correct. 14 The indirect effects would occur over some 15 15 Q. And we have established, I think, period of time that would likely be greater than a 16 earlier that some of the ripple effect would have 16 year. That's because of the notion that the dollar 17 been felt in Nebraska. Is that correct? 17 turns over a number of times before leakages finally 18 18 A. That's correct. exhaust the entire effect. That time period, 19 19 Q. The figure that you report in this depending on the structure of the economy, can be a 20 20 paper, is that Nebraska effect subsumed in that couple years to, perhaps, five, six or seven, 21 21 number or on top of that number? depending on the nature of the impact, depending on 22 A. On top of that number. We have accounted --22 the structure of the economy, but it is not a one 23 23 this is the amount that has accrued to Kansas. We year phenomenon. 24 have allowed for all leakages that would otherwise 24 Q. Okay. And if the economy changes during 25 25 occur. We have accounted for them, and so any impact that period of time, does that affect your

Page 30 Page 32 1 Minnesota IMPLAN data were similar? 1 analysis at all? In other words, if 2007 were a 2 2 banner year, for example, and household incomes A. I have not observed any great variation in 3 rose substantially. 3 my work with the '06 data compared to my work with 4 4 the '04 data. A. The economy is always changing to some 5 degree, and those changes will, indeed, affect how 5 Q. Okay. And the Minnesota IMPLAN data, if 6 the indirect effects ultimately play out. We make 6 I recall correctly, is essentially a 7 7 conglomeration of various statistics, including some simplifying assumptions with regard to doing 8 8 these things because we are doing a relative -- a income levels and things like that? 9 9 A. Yes. There are data files that depend -static analysis, but I'm thinking that by and large, 10 10 they essentially produce the information for the absent there being very substantial structural 11 United States as a whole, all 50 states, all 3,000 11 changes to the economy, it plays out about like we 12 12 estimate. odd counties, and then they have developed methods 13 Q. I thought I understood you to say 13 for breaking it down to a zip code level, and so that earlier that the IMPLAN model was selected because 14 14 is all potentially available. For this -- for this 15 15 project we utilize the Kansas State data file, and it could deal with the specific years and short 16 term -- on the short term. Is that correct? 16 within that is state level income information, employment information, household income distribution 17 A. That is not quite correct. 17 18 What did you mean? 18 and some other kinds of things that go into the Q. 19 We did not --19 construction of these accounts. A. 20 20 Q. What did you say? I have forgotten. Q. Can you explain why the reduction in 21 21 economic production, household incomes, etcetera, Excuse me. 22 22 A. Well, I have forgotten as well. I'll try it that were apparently experienced in 2005 aren't 23 23 reflected in the '06 IMPLAN data? again. 24 2.4 A. Given the size of the overall economy, it's Q. Okay. 25 We did not select IMPLAN specifically 25 a relatively small shock. I can't tell you what the Page 31 Page 33 because of the time frame of the analysis or anything 1 numbers are, but we're talking in the Kansas State 2 else. Given the nature of the economic change that 2 economy of some billions of dollars. You make a 3 we presumed to occur, IMPLAN certainly is an 3 \$10 million change, it's not that big a rock to throw 4 appropriate tool to utilize. We could have utilized 4 into the body of water. 5 other tools and if we had utilized them properly, we 5 Q. So you don't necessarily know at the zip 6 6 would have come up with probably about the same code level what the difference is between the '06 amounts. But no, we did make a number of 7 7 and the '04 data? 8 suppositions with regard to the nature of the 8 A. I have not taken any effort to formally 9 9 economic changes and when they occurred. Given those compare or analyze changes in the two data sets. 10 10 suppositions, IMPLAN is an appropriate tool to use to Q. But at the zip code level, is it your 11 try to answer those impact questions. 11 estimation that there aren't significant 12 Q. Do I understand the report to presume 12 differences between the '06 and '04 data? 13 that reduced household income from a reduced crop 13 A. I have not seen the zip code level data, nor 14 yield in 2005 is generally felt in the same year? 14 I don't own it. 15 15 A. To be honest, you would have to ask one of MR. WILMOTH: I think that's all we 16 the production economists how that would work. I 16 have. Pete, do you have anything? 17 17 MR. AMPE: I think you covered it. could only guess. 18 18 Q. Who would that be? MR. WILMOTH: John, do you have 19 You could ask Terry Kastens. 19 anything? 20 20 Q. So you didn't analyze, for example, MR. DRAPER: Take a minute? 21 21 whether individual farmers within KBID might have MR. WILMOTH: Sure. 22 actually sold their crops in the later year? 22 (Brief recess taken.) 23 A. I have no idea what they would have done. 23 MR. DRAPER: No further questions. 24 Q. Okay. One last thread here. If I heard 24 (Witness excused.) 25 you correctly, you said that the '04 and '06 25

	D 24
	Page 34
1	
2 3	
4	
5 6	
7	
	JOHN LEATHERMAN
8 9	
10	STATE OF)
11	STATE OF) SS: COUNTY OF)
12	
13	Subscribed and sworn to before me this
14	day of, 2009.
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20 21	NOTARY PUBLIC
22	344 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
23	My Commission Expires:
24	In re: Non-Binding Arbitration
25	
	Page 35
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, JANE A. BLACKERBY, a Certified Court
4 5	Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, hereby certify that the within-named witness was first duly
6	sworn to testify the truth, and that the deposition
7	by said witness was given in response to the
8	questions propounded, as herein set forth, was first
9	taken in machine shorthand by me and afterwards
10 11	reduced to writing under my direction and supervision, and is a true and correct record of the
12	testimony given by the witness.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative
14	or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the
15	parties, or relative or employee of such attorneys or
16 17	counsel, or financially interested in the action. WITNESS my hand and official seal at
18	Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri, this 28th day
19	of February, 2009.
20	• /
21	IANE A DI AGVEDDY DED CODAL 055
22 23	JANE A. BLACKERBY, RPR, CCR No. 877 Certified Court Reporter
24	Corumen Court reporter
25	

10 (Pages 34 to 35)